NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Since Sony introduced the PlayStation 3 ("PS3") in 2006, one of its advertised features included the "Install other OS" function that allowed users to install and run other operating systems such as Linux.
- 2. On April 1, 2010, Sony released a PS3 firmware update version 3.21 ("Firmware 3.21") for the specific purpose of disabling the "Install Other OS" function. PS3 users who do not install Firmware 3.21 lose the ability to sign on to the PlayStation Network ("PSN"), play online games, access other online features, and play PS3 games or Blu-Ray discs that require Firmware 3.21 or higher.
- Defendant intentionally accessed PS3 systems and intentionally transmitted Firmware
 with the knowledge and intent of disabling its advertised "Install Other OS" function.
- 4. Plaintiffs paid for PS3 features and functions that Defendant has rendered inoperable as a result of Firmware 3.21.
- 5. Defendant's actions have resulted in injury in fact and lost money or property to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the proposed Class (as defined in paragraph 33 below), hereby seek damages and other relief the Court deems just.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Todd Densmore is a citizen and individual residing in Cumming, Georgia. Plaintiff Densmore bought a PS3 developed, marketed, and distributed by Defendant. Plaintiff Densmore installed Firmware 3.21 as required by Defendant to operate certain functions and to access certain games and thereafter lost the ability to use other operating systems. Plaintiff Densmore has suffered injury in fact and has lost money and/or property as a result of the unlawful conduct alleged herein.

- 7. Plaintiff Antal Herz is a citizen and individual residing in San Francisco, California. Plaintiff Herz bought a PS3 developed, marketed, and distributed by Defendant. Plaintiff Herz installed Firmware 3.21 as required by Defendant to operate certain functions and to access certain games and thereafter lost the ability to use other operating systems. Plaintiff Herz has suffered injury in fact and has lost money and/or property as a result of the unlawful conduct alleged herein.
- 8. Defendant Sony Computer Entertainment America Inc. ("Sony" or "Defendant") develops, markets, and sells PlayStation gaming consoles, including the models at issue in this litigation, in the United States and Canada. It was founded as the North American Division of Sony Computer Entertainment Inc. Defendant is a Delaware company headquartered in Foster City, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from the Defendant; there are more than 100 Class members nationwide; and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. This court has personal jurisdiction over the parties because Defendant conducts substantial business in this State, has had systematic and continuous contacts with this State, and has agents and representatives that can be found in this State.
- 10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District, Defendant has caused harm to Class members residing within this District, and Defendant maintains its headquarters in this District.

10

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

11. Pursuant to Local Rules 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), this action should be assigned to the San Francisco Division of California because Defendant resides in the County of San Mateo.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Sony and PS3 Background

- 12. Defendant, Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc. was founded in 1994 as the North American division of Sony Computer Entertainment Inc. and according to its website, is responsible for the "continued growth of the PlayStation® market in the United States and Canada."
- In 1995, the original PlayStation game console was introduced in the United States. 13. More than 100,000 units were sold during its debut weekend and more than one million units were sold within the first six months.
- On November 17, 2006, Defendant introduced the PS3, touting it as "the most 14. advanced computer system that serves as a platform to enjoy next generation computer entertainment." Defendant advertised, marketed, and sold PS3 systems as including a built-in Blu-ray disc player, the ability to go online to access the PSN and play against other players, and the ability to install other operating systems. The ability to play Blu-ray discs and install other operating systems is unique to the PS3 among other video games consoles.
- 15. The manufacturer's suggested retail price for the PS3 has ranged from approximately \$300 to \$600. Defendant has reportedly sold approximately 23 million PS3 systems.
- 16. The video game console and game industry is a multi-billion dollar market. Game console manufacturers such as Defendant fiercely compete with one another to market their

Open Platform for PLAYSTATION®3, http://www.playstation.com/ps3openplatform/index.html

game consoles with the latest features to consumers and to bring lucrative games to the market. The PS3 competes with other video game consoles such as Microsoft's Xbox 360 and the Nintendo Wii. The ability to install other operating systems and the inclusion of a built-in Bluray Disc player is unique to the PS3 among other video game consoles.

Sony Markets PS3's "Install Other OS" Feature

- 17. Amongst the PS3's features includes the "Open Platform" or "Install Other OS" feature. Defendant's website provides, "[t]here is more to the PLAYSTATION®3 (PS3TM) computer entertainment system than you may have assumed. In addition to playing games, watching movies, listening to music, and viewing photos, you can use the PS3TM system to run the Linux operating system. By installing the Linux operating system, you can use the PS3TM system not only as an entry-level personal computer with hundreds of familiar applications for home and office use, but also as a complete development environment for the Cell Broadband EngineTM (Cell/B.E.)."²
- 18. The "Install Other OS" feature allowed Plaintiffs and other PS3 users to run a number of web browsers, which provide more functionality than the one browser Defendant has in its native PS3 operating system. For example, users could also run word processor software, spreadsheet software, and email software on other operating systems. The "Install Other OS" feature also allowed Cell programming and the operation of supercomputer clusters. The "Other OS" feature essentially allowed users to operate the PS3 like a computer rather than simply a gaming console.

² http://www.playstation.com/ps3-openplatform/index.html

³ Cell is a microprocessor which facilitates software development. The PS3 is the most accessible Cell platform. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cell_%28microprocessor%29.

- 19. Indeed, Sony touted this as a major feature of the PS3. In June 2006, Ken Kutaragi, the president and CEO of Sony Computer Entertainment stated that "[the PS3] is radically different from the previous PlayStation. It is clearly a computer. Indeed, with a game console, you need to take out any unnecessary elements inside the console in order to decrease its cost. . . . This will of course apply to the PS3 as well." He also stated that while ""[I]owering costs is important but more important is its capacity to evolve." *Id.* "Everything has been planned and designed so it will become a computer. The previous PlayStation had a memory slot as its unique interface. In contrast, the PS3 features PC standard interfaces. Because they are standard, they are open." *Id*
- 20. In February 2007, Phil Harrison, the President of Sony Computer Entertainment Worldwide Studios at the time, stated in an interview with *Newsweek* videogame journalist, N'Gai Croal, that "[o]ne of the most powerful things about the PS3 is the 'install Other OS' option."⁵
- 21. The ability to install other operating systems was a built-in component of the core functionality of the PS3 system and users were able to use this feature out of the box.
- 22. At the point of sale, Sony failed to disclose, and/or adequately disclose, to Plaintiffs or Class members that it reserved the right to remove an advertised, built-in feature, like the ability to run other operating systems through a remote firmware update. Defendant's right to remove the "Install Other OS" feature is not disclosed in Defendant's Terms of Service or System Software License Agreement.

⁴ Kutaragi Details PS3 'Computer' Claim, http://www.edge-online.com/news/kutaragi-details-ps3-computer-claim

⁵ 20 Questions With Phil Harrison At DICE, http://kotaku.com/235049/20-questions-with-phil-harrison-at-dice; DICE 2007 Phil Harrison Keynote Pt. 4, http://www.gametrailers.com/video/dice-2007-sony/17006.

Case3:10-cv-01945-RS Document1 Filed05/05/10 Page7 of 23

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

2/#comments

26. Sebold posted that consumers and organizations that use the "Install Other OS" feature could "choose" not to install Firmware 3.21. However, if a user does not install Firmware 3.21, he or she would lose a number of material PS3 features.⁸

- 27. On or about April 1, 2010, Defendant released Firmware 3.21. Defendant stated that Firmware 3.21 would disable the "Install Other OS" feature, improve playback quality of downloaded PlayStation software from the PlayStation Store, and improve security to address security vulnerabilities that may occur when playing MP4 format video files.⁹
- 28. However, if a user fails to download Firmware 3.21, he or she will lose the following features: (1) the ability to sign in to the PlayStation®Network; (2) the ability to use online features that require a user to sign in to the PSN, such as chat; (3) the ability to use the online features of PS3 format software; (4) playback of PS3 software or Blu-ray Disc videos that require Firmware 3.21 or later; (5) playback of copyright-protected videos that are stored on a media server; (6) use of new features and improvements that are available on PS3 Firmware 3.21 or later. ¹⁰
- 29. Since the ability to play Blu-ray discs and play games online through the PSN are features unique to the PS3 console and important to users, installing Firmware 3.21 is not optional. Even Defendant's console games are increasingly reliant on online updates, online content, and online play. Defendant essentially presented users with a Hobson's Choice, or a "choice" between two equally undesirable alternatives: users would either lose the ability to use other operating systems, an advertised and important feature, or lose the ability to access online,

⁸ http://blog.us.playstation.com/2010/03/28/ps3-firmware-v3-21-update/comment-page-2/#comments

⁹ http://us.playstation.com/support/systemupdates/ps3/index.htm

¹⁰ http://us.playstation.com/support/systemupdates/ps3/ps3_321_update1/index.htm

Blu-ray, and gaming features. On one hand, installing Firmware 3.21 renders the PS3 inoperable for its use as a computer; on the other hand, failure to install Firmware 3.21 basically renders a users' PS3 inoperable for its intended purpose as a gaming and Blu-ray Disc console.

30. Since Defendant released Firmware 3.21, thousands of users have written complaints on Internet websites and message boards, including the message board Defendant maintains on its website, regarding Firmware 3.21 and its removal of the "Install Other OS" feature.

Plaintiffs' Experiences

- 31. Plaintiff Densmore purchased a PS3 in 2007. Before his purchase, he saw the "Install Other OS" feature advertised on Defendant's website. He also read blogs and forums on the Internet regarding the PS3's "Install Other OS" feature. Plaintiff Densmore purchased the PS3 over other gaming consoles in part because of the ability to run the other operating systems. By using the "Install Other OS" feature, Plaintiff Densmore was able to utilize Cell programming. Plaintiff Densmore was required to download Firmware 3.21 in order to continue his ability to sign on to the PSN, play games online, access certain gaming features, and play Blu-ray Discs. Plaintiff Densmore downloaded Firmware 3.21 and lost the "Install Other OS" feature. As such, Plaintiff Densmore has been damaged as a result of Defendant's conduct.
- 32. Plaintiff Herz purchased a PS3 on October 11, 2008. Before his purchase, he saw the "Install other OS" feature advertised on Defendant's website. He also read blogs and forums on the Internet regarding the PS3's "Install Other OS" feature. Plaintiff Herz purchased the PS3 over other gaming consoles in part because of the ability to run the other operating systems. By using the "Install Other OS" feature, Plaintiff Herz was able to run word Processor software, spreadsheet software, email software, other productivity applications, and make his own programs. He could also log back on to Defendant's native operating system and play against users online. Plaintiff Herz was required to download Firmware 3.21 in order to continue his

ability to sign on to the PSN, play games online, access certain gaming features, and play Bluray Discs.. Plaintiff Herz downloaded Firmware 3.21 and lost the "Other OS" feature. As such, Plaintiff Herz has been damaged as a result of Defendant's conduct.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

33. Plaintiffs Densmore and Herz bring this suit as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons. The Class is initially defined as follows:

All persons in the United States who purchased a PS3 from November 17, 2006 to March 27, 2010 and continued to own the PS3 on March 27, 2010.

- 34. Excluded from the class are Defendant and its subsidiaries and affiliates, and Defendant's executives, board members, legal counsel, and their immediate families.
- 35. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend or modify the Class definition with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues.
- 36. Numerosity. The proposed Class is sufficiently numerous, as Defendant has sold millions of PS3 systems to consumers and required those consumers to download the update at issue. The members of the Class are so numerous and dispersed throughout the United States that joinder of all members is impracticable. The Class members can be identified through Defendant's and/or Class members' records.
- 37. Common Questions of Fact and Law. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting solely individual members of the Class, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3). Questions of fact and law that predominate over any individual issues include:
 - Whether Defendant breached its contract with users when it removed the "Install Other OS" feature;

- b. Whether Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
- c. Whether Defendant advertised the PS3 as having the "Install Other OS" feature;
- d. Whether Defendant failed to disclose to users that it could remove the "Install Other OS" feature
- e. Whether Defendant represented that firmware updates would not disable the "Install Other OS" feature;
- f. Whether Defendant knowingly transmitted Firmware 3.21 with the specific intent of disabling the "Install Other OS" feature;
- g. Whether Defendant's conduct violated the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code sections 1750, et seq. ("CLRA");
- h. Whether Defendant's conduct violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18
 U.S.C. § 1030;
- i. Whether Defendant's conduct violated California's Unfair Competition Laws, California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. ("UCL");
- j. Whether Defendant's actions violated other common law and statutory duties;
- Whether Plaintiffs and the members of the Class sustained damage and ascertainable loss as a result of Defendant's conduct as alleged herein;
- 1. The amount of relief to which the Class is entitled; and
- m. The amount of attorneys' fees, prejudgment interest, and costs of suit to which the Class is entitled.
- 38. <u>Typicality</u>. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of Class members because Plaintiffs and the Class sustained damages arising out of the Defendant's wrongful conduct as detailed herein. Specifically, Plaintiffs and Class members' claims arise from Defendant taking away an advertised and paid-for feature on their PS3 consoles.

- 39. Adequacy. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action lawsuits. Plaintiffs have no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of Class members and therefore will be adequate as representatives for the Class.
- 40. <u>Superiority</u>. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all the members of the Class is impracticable. Furthermore, the adjudication of this controversy through a class action will avoid the potentially inconsistent and conflicting adjudications of the claims asserted herein. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Breach of Contract

- 41. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 42. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased the PS3 with the expectation that the PS3 included the "Install Other OS" function and that (1) this function would remain for the life of the product, and (2) Defendant would not intentionally remove this function. Plaintiffs and Class members also purchased the PS3 with the expectation that the PS3 would allow them to sign on to the PSN, play online games, access other online features, and play PS3 games or Blu-Ray discs, as well as operate programs through the "Install Other OS" function.
 - 43. Plaintiffs and Class members' purchase of the PS3 constituted a contract.
- 44. Plaintiffs and Class members fulfilled their obligations under the contract by paying the purchase price for the PS3.

- 45. Defendant breached the contract by issuing Firmware 3.21 and forcing users to choose between either losing the "Install Other OS" function or losing the ability to sign on to the PSN, play online games, access other online features, and play PS3 games or Blu-Ray discs requiring Firmware 3.21 or higher.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the contract, Plaintiffs and Class members have been damaged because they no longer have the PS3 features for which they paid.

COUNT II

Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 47. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 48. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased the PS3 with the expectation that the PS3 included the "Install Other OS" function and that (1) this function would remain for the life of the product, and (2) Defendant would not intentionally remove this function. Plaintiffs and Class members also purchased the PS3 with the expectation that the PS3 would allow them to sign on to the PSN, play online games, access other online features, and play PS3 games or Blu-Ray discs, as well as operate programs through the "Install Other OS" function.
- 49. Plaintiffs and Class members fulfilled their obligations under the contract by paying the purchase price for the PS3.
- 50. Defendant issued Firmware 3.21 and forced users to choose between either losing the "Install Other OS" function or losing the ability to sign on to the PSN, play online games, access other online features, and play PS3 games or Blu-Ray discs requiring Firmware 3.21 or higher. Defendant's actions constitute a breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiffs and Class members have been damaged because they no longer have the PS3 features for which they paid.

COUNT III

Trespass to Chattels

- 52. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 53. Defendant acted deliberately and intentionally to remove the "Install Other OS" functionality, an advertised feature that Plaintiffs and Class members paid for. Defendant required Plaintiffs and Class members to install Firmware 3.21 with the threat that other advertised and paid-for features, such as the ability to play online games and Blu-ray Discs, would be lost if Plaintiffs and Class members did not install Firmware 3.21. Defendant intentionally interfered with the possession of personal property.
- 54. Because a failure to install Firmware 3.21 results in the inoperability of a users' PS3 system, Plaintiffs and Class members did not consent to the trespass.

COUNT IV

Unjust Enrichment

- 55. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
 - 56. Defendant has been monetarily enriched as a result of activities as alleged herein.
- 57. Defendant unlawfully received monies that would not have been obtained but for Defendant's acts as alleged herein, at the expense of the Class.
- 58. In purchasing the PS3, Plaintiffs and each member of the Class paid for the ability to use the "Install Other OS" feature, the ability to Blu-ray Discs, and the ability to access the PSN

for online gaming and network features. By issuing Firmware 3.21, regardless of whether a user downloads the software, he or she will lose complete functionality of his or her PS3 console as it was advertised.

- 59. Defendant has been unjustly enriched as a result of the acts as alleged herein, at the expense of the Class.
- 60. Defendant lacks any legal justification for having engaged in a course of conduct as alleged herein at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class.

COUNT V

Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act,

Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.

- 61. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 62. Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of California Civil Code sections 1761(c) and 1770, and provides "goods" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(a) and 1770.
- 63. Defendant's customers, including Plaintiffs and Class members, are "consumers" within the meaning of California Civil Code sections 1761(d) and 1770. Each purchase of a PS3 system by Plaintiffs and each Class member constitutes a "transaction" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(e) and 1770.
- 64. As set forth herein, Defendant's acts, practices, representations, omissions and course of conduct, including its dissemination of Firmware 3.21 to disable the "Install Other OS" feature, violate sections 1770(a)(5), (a)(7), and (a)(9) of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act in that: (a) Defendant represented that goods or services had characteristics, uses, benefits or quantities which they do not have; (b) Defendant represented that goods or services were of a

particular standard, quality or grade when they were another; and (c) Defendant advertised goods with intent not to sell them as advertised.

- 65. The "Install Other OS" feature was material and important to a consumer in purchasing the PS3. Plaintiffs relied on Defendant's representations that the PS3 included the ability to install other operating systems. Plaintiffs and Class members purchased the PS3 in part because of Defendant's representations and omissions.
- 66. Defendant failed to disclose, and/or inadequately disclosed, that it could disable the advertised "Install Other OS" feature. Defendant also represented that it would not us a firmware update to disable the "Install Other OS" feature.
- 67. Pursuant to the provisions of California Civil Code section 1780, Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to (1) refrain from requiring users to install updates that would remove advertised and paid-for features from their PS3 consoles; and (2) restore users' capability to "Install Other OS."
- 68. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all Class members, will comply with the preliminary notice provision of California Civil Code section 1782(a). If Defendant does not provide Plaintiffs' requested injunctive relief thirty days after the commencement of this action, Plaintiffs will amend their complaint and include a request for damages in accordance with California Civil Code section 1782(d).

COUNT VI

Violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act 18 U.S.C. § 1030

- 69. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
 - 70. The PS3 is a "computer" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(1).

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

71. Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles are used in interstate commerce or communication, and are "protected computers" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2)(B).

- 72. Defendant knowingly caused the transmission of software and intentionally caused damage without authorization to Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles; and/or intentionally accessed Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles without authorization and recklessly caused damage; and/or intentionally accessed Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles without authorization and caused damage and loss.
- 73. Defendant knowingly caused the transmission of software code and intentionally caused damage without authorization to Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles. Defendant knowingly and admittedly released Firmware 3.21 for the specific purpose of removing the "Install Other OS" feature – a feature that Defendant had advertised as part of the console and that Plaintiffs and Class members had paid for. As a result of this knowing transmission, Defendant intentionally caused damage by disabling the "Install Other OS" feature. The damage was unauthorized because a failure to download Firmware 3.21 would result in the loss of other features, as described herein.
- 74. Defendant intentionally accessed Plaintiffs' and the Class' PS3 systems and transmitted software without authorization and recklessly caused damage.
- 75. Defendant intentionally accessed Plaintiffs and the Class' PS3 systems without authorization and caused damage and loss. Although Plaintiffs and Class members may have authorized a firmware update for security reasons, they did not authorize the disabling of the "Install Other OS" feature. Defendants did not present Plaintiffs and Class members with any actual choice because either downloading Firmware 3.21 or not downloading the update would both result in disabling certain advertised features. Defendant's unauthorized access caused

damage to Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 consoles and caused Plaintiffs and Class members to suffer losses, including, but not limited to, the ability use other operating systems and the money paid for this feature. Plaintiffs' and Class members' consoles were reduced in value by Defendant's conduct because a gaming console that allows Defendant to remove and disable advertised and material features is worth less than a gaming console that does not allow these unconsented-to removals.

76. Through Defendant's intentional transmission of the software and the unauthorized access of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PS3 systems, Defendant impaired the integrity of Plaintiffs' and other individual Class members' systems and removed a feature that Plaintiffs and Class members had paid for. As a direct result of engaging in such acts, Defendant caused damage exceeding an aggregate of \$5,000 in value during a one-year period.

COUNT VII

Violation of the False Advertising Law

Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.

- 77. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 78. The conduct and actions of Defendant complained of herein constitute false advertising in violation of the False Advertising Law ("FAL"). Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.
- 79. Among other things, Defendant made material representations and failed to disclose or adequately disclose material information regarding the "Install Other OS" feature, the PS3, and Defendant's right to disable this feature, which Defendant knew, or should have known, were likely to cause reasonable consumers to buy PS3s in reliance upon said representation.

Defendant intended for Plaintiff and Class members to rely on these representations and Plaintiff and Class members did rely on Defendant's representations.

- 80. Defendant committed such violations of the FAL with actual knowledge or knowledge fairly implied on the basis of objective circumstances.
- 81. As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered injury in fact and lost money and/or property.

COUNT VIII

Violation of the Unfair Competition Law,

Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.

- 82. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege all paragraphs previously alleged herein.
- 83. The acts and practices engaged in by Defendant, and described herein, constitute unlawful business practices in that Defendant's practices, as described herein, constitute a breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, violate the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code sections 1750, et seq., the Consumer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, the FAL, and the common law of trespass to chattels and unjust enrichment.
- 84. The acts and practices engaged by Defendant, and described herein, constitute unfair business practices because the justification for Defendant's conduct is outweighed by the gravity of the consequences to Plaintiffs and Class members and Defendant's conduct is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and Class members. In purchasing the PS3, Plaintiffs and each member of the Class paid for the ability to use the "Install Other OS" feature, the ability to play Blu-ray Discs, and the ability to access the PSN for online gaming and network features. By issuing Firmware 3.21, regardless of whether a user

downloads the software, he or she will lose functionality of his or her PS3 console. Defendant's actions violate the spirit of the laws described in Paragraph 82.

- 85. The acts and practices engaged by Defendant, and described herein, constitute fraudulent business practices because Defendant advertised the PS3 as including the "Install Other OS" feature and failed to disclose, and/or inadequately disclosed, that Defendant could remove the advertised "Install Other OS" feature by way of firmware update. Defendant's conduct and/or omissions were likely to deceive consumers.
- 86. Plaintiffs and all other Class members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money and/or property as a result of Defendant's unfair competition, as more fully set forth herein.
- 87. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiffs and Class members are therefore entitled to equitable relief, including restitution of all monies paid to Defendant, disgorgement of all profits accruing to Defendant because of its unlawful and unfair business practices, a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from its unlawful and unfair business activities, and appropriate declaratory relief as described herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment on behalf of themselves and the Class as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the proposed Class alleged herein under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointing Plaintiffs Densmore and Herz and their counsel of record to represent said Class;
 - B. For an order awarding suitable injunctive and declaratory relief;
 - C. For on order directing restitution and/or disgorgement;

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case3:10-cv-01945-RS Document1 Filed05/05/10 Page21 of 23

AFFIDAVIT OF TRACY TIEN

I, Tracy Tien, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an associate with the law firm Finkelstein Thompson LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Todd Densmore and Plaintiff Antal Herz in this action. I am admitted to practice law in California and before this Court, and am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. This declaration is made pursuant to California Civil Code section 1780(d). I make this declaration based on my research of public records and also upon personal knowledge and, if called upon to do so, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. Defendant's principal place of business is within this District, as alleged in the accompanying Class Action Complaint.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States on this 5 day of May 2010 in San Francisco, California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Tracy Tien

JURAT

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OTHER SIGNERS:

	ORN TO (OR AFFIRMED) BEFORE ME, ARY PUBLIC, THIS <u>May 5</u> , 20	10
PROVED TO ME ON TH	IE BASIS OF SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE TO HO APPEARED BEFORE ME.	
MARLYN ANO, Notary	Public MARLYN ANO COMM. # 1840288 O NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY My Commission, Expires March 21, 2013	
OPTI	ONAL INFORMATION	
TITLE OF DOCUMENT:	Class Action Complaint Domand For Tury Trial	
DOCUMENT DATE:	5/5/10	
NUMBER OF PAGES	22	

None Other